



This study evaluated the effectiveness of VeinViewer for peripheral vascular accessing a pediatric hematology oncology clinic” Ramer et al (2015).

Abstract:

This study evaluated the effectiveness of VeinViewer for peripheral vascular accessing a pediatric hematology oncology clinic. After obtaining consent, 53 patients were randomly assigned to either the VeinViewer group (n = 27) or standard methods group (n = 26).

ReTweet if useful... Effect of VeinViewer on IV access attempts, procedural time, and patient and nurse satisfaction [@ivteam #ivteam](http://ctt.ec/6U0_Q+)

Click To Tweet

Data on number of attempts, procedural time, access complications, and patient and nurse satisfaction were collected. Patients randomized to the VeinViewer group required significantly less time to access a vein as compared with the standard methods group ($P \leq .05$). Additionally, these patients rated nurses as having significantly more skill than nurses who did not use VeinViewer ($P \leq .05$) and assigned significantly higher scores for “overall experience”(P ≤ .05). Responses by nurses using VeinViewer overall saw the device in a positive light.

Reference:

Ramer, L., Hunt, P., Ortega, E., Knowlton, J., Briggs, R. and Hirokawa, S. (2015) Effect of



Effect of VeinViewer on IV access attempts, procedural time, and patient and nurse satisfaction | 2

Intravenous (IV) Assistive Device (VeinViewer) on IV Access Attempts, Procedural Time, and Patient and Nurse Satisfaction. Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing. October 28th. .

Thank you to our partners for supporting IVTEAM

